



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_4086
Title of the Manuscript:	Generalized Growth and Approximation of Pseudoanalytic Functions on the Disk
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The introduction of the problem needs an extension (PAF on the disk, Stokes-Beltrami System, etc.). Author's own issues should be highlighted.	In the introduction these things have been added. See attached letter.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There is inefficient overuse of lemmas and proofs without a further explanation, which could be referred.	We have characterized some results in the form of lemmas which have been used in the proofs of theorems.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Aims and conclusions of the study should be formulated in more detail. The interpretation of math expressions should be improved.	Aim and conclusions have been given more detail.